From: <u>Jessica Winter</u>

To: <u>Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA</u>

Subject: Re: Fw: LWG Total PCB Modeling Approach Memo

Date: 01/21/2010 11:40 AM

Thanks for the info- next Monday all day and Wednesday morning are pretty booked, but the rest of my schedule is pretty flexible. -Jessica Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov wrote: > Jessica, attached are our comments. I apologize for not sending these to you previously. I will have to figure out how that happened. > As for modeling total PCBs, my understanding is that there are two 1) Detection frequency of the congeners we are interested in modeling (PCB 126 and PCB 77). The detection frequency of PCB 126 and 77 in water were 81% and 16% respectively; the detection frequency for all other media were greater than 93% (See Table 1, Appendix A of the Bioaccumulation Report).

2) The amount of congener sediment data available. Congeners were analyzed in 293 out of 1514 surface sediment aroclor results) and 151 out of 1539 subsurface sediment aroclor results. As indicated in the memo, we do have different congener patterns and PCB sources throughout the harbor so looking at total PCBs alone is not necessarily a good option. I think that the homolog approach has merit but I am concerned about impacts on the schedule. It may be that we look at the homologs estimated based on congener sums but not try to extract the homolog data from the aroclor data - which adds schedule time and uncertainty. I may try to set up a meeting with Earl Hayter and Karl Gustavson next week. I will include you since you seem to have some good thoughts on week. I wil this matter. (See attached file: QEAFATEModelLtr112409.pdf) From: | -----> |Jessica Winter <Jessica.Winter@noaa.gov> >-----To: | Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Robert Neely <Robert Neely@noaa.gov> S----í-----I Date: |01/21/2010 10:53 AM Subject: ·----> >------Re: Fw: LWG Total PCB Modeling Approach Memo **____** · -----| > Hi Eric,
 I just reached your voicemail and it says you're coming up to Seattle
> this week- hope the nice weather holds out for you.
> I'm looking over LWG's memo on the proposed approach for tPCB modeling,
> and I realized I haven't seen the comments EPA sent to LWG after the
> fate and transport modeling meeting in November. I'm not sure what the
> reasons were for modeling tPCB rather than individual congeners-- I'm
> assuming it's because there's more data on total PCB concentrations in
> the river as opposed to congener-specific data? The approach they're
> suggesting here (modeling homolog classes and then scaling up to get
> tPCB) doesn't seem to solve that problem because we still have to
> estimate homolog concentrations from Aroclor data, which is doable but
> hard/introduces uncertainty, especially where we're dealing with
> weathered chemicals and where (I assume) we don't have the original

```
> chromatograms from the Aroclor measurements, just concentrations. Were
> there other reasons for asking LWG to model tPCB instead of congeners?
> The other reason I can think of for modeling total PCBs rather than > congeners might be because we are concerned about one congener degrading
  into another and disappearing from the model. If that's the issue, then perhaps we want to pursue what QEA said at the 11-18-09 meeting about QEAFATE's ability to model multiple chemicals simultaneously to simulate
> interactions and degradation. But there might be other reasons that I've
> forgotten.
> I'm wondering if the food web model will treat PCBs as one entity or > will split PCBs into homolog or congener classes.
  --Jessica
> Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov wrote:
>> ---- Forwarded by Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US on 01/20/2010 12:47 PM
>> From: 
>> To:
                             "Jennifer Woronets" <jworonets@anchorqea.com>
Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Chip
> Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
>> Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, "JD Williams " <jdw@jdw-law.net>,
>> "Jennifer Peers" <jpeers@stratusconsulting.com>, "Jennifer Woronets"
>> <jworonets@anchorenv.com>, "Julie Weis" <jweis@hk-law.com>, "Keith
             <kpine@anchorenv.com>, Kristine Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
<Robert.Neely@noaa.gov>,
01/20/2010 12:43 PM
LWG Total PCB Modeling Approach Memo
>> Date:
>> Subject:
>>
>>
   ______
>> Eric, Chip,
>> Please see attached LWG Total PCB Modeling Approach memo.
>> Please let us know if you have any questions.
>>
>> Thank you,
>> Jen Woronets J
>> Anchor QEA, LLC
>> _ipworonets@anchorqea.com_
>> _6650 SW Redwood Lane, Suite 333
>> Portland, OR 97224
>> 503-670-1108 Ext 24
>> 503-670-1128 Fax
>> ü Please consider the environment before printing this email.
>> The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or
>> entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, please be >> aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the >> contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this >> electronic transmission in error, please notify us by electronic mail >> at _jworonets@anchorqea.com_ <mailto:jworonets@anchorenv.com>
> 1--
> Jessica Winter
> Environmental Engineer
> NOAA Office of Response and Restoration
> 7600 Sand Point Way, Bldg 1
> Seattle WA 98115
> (206) 526-4540
> jessica.winter@noaa.gov
```

Jessica Winter
Environmental Engineer
NOAA Office of Response and Restoration
7600 Sand Point Way, Bldg 1
Seattle WA 98115
(206) 526-4540
jessica.winter@noaa.gov